

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,156	•	08/18/2003	Shane L. Nickel	12256.7US01	3549
23552	7590	05/24/2005		EXAMINER	
MERCHA	NT & G	OULD PC	THOMPSON, HUGH B		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3634	
				DATE MAIL ED. 05/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

₩							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/643,156	NICKEL, SHANE L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication on	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 F</u>	ebruary 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
.—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
•	Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,10-14 and 17-21 is/are pending in the application.						
, ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,10-14,17 and 18</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 19 is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) <u>20,21</u> is/are objected to.	or election requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
							application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
dee the attached detailed diffee design for a list	of the column deposition for receive						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

At the outset, the applicant should note the numbering of the claims, i.e., there is no claim

16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rousseau

#4,967,733. Rousseau discloses a scaffold lift system comprised of vertical posts 60, spacer

rollers as best seen in Figure 5, tracks 62, traveler device 66 having a drive train 64, a platform

assembly 10 mounted to the traveler device, and an actuator assembly 70-76 (even), which

engages the traveler device and actuates movement thereof along the posts.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 10-14, 17, and 18 are allowed.

Claims 20 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon rejected claim 19, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims. The primary reason for the allowance of claim 3 is the inclusion of

the traveler having a drive gear that receives a drive and meshes with a second gear that engages

the track. For claim 7, it is the inclusion of the drive gear having a driver engagement portion

that engages an actuator tool. For claim 12, it is the inclusion of a foot-operated device mounted

Art Unit: 3634

to the support member and engaging the vertical post. For claim 18 it is the inclusion of the traveler having a worm gear that drives a sprocket gear, which engages the toothed track of the post. Fro claim 20, it is the inclusion of the actuator being a hand held tool that engages the drive train. For claim 21, it is the inclusion of the actuator being a portable device supported on and moving with the platform. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the claimed features absent the applicant's own disclosure.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed in the Amendment of 2-7-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to newly added claim 19, there is no recited gear, driver, track arrangement as in claims 1, 7, or 14, no support member, as recited in claims 7, 12, or 14, and no foot operated brake, as recited in claims 12 or 18. The broadly recited drive train arrangement and actuator do not structurally define the support system of claim 19 over the Rousseau reference as applied above. As such, the Section 102 rejection above "reads-on" the structure of the support system of claim 19.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. See claim 19. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3634

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hugh B. Thompson II whose telephone number is (571) 272-6837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9 am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,156

Art Unit: 3634

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hugh B. Thompson II
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3634

May 20, 2005